

Results

The experiment was successful in determination of the origin of the misorientation between the image of the bulk and the image of the layer associated with implantation.

Some of the samples investigated topographically were polished on the small area surfaces and chemically etched ($\text{HF}+2\text{HNO}_3$ at about 105°C). This etching is different for the opposite surfaces and reveals eventual anti-parallel ferroelectric domains. Around the expected location of the implanted layer (less than 1 pm thick) a grey layer parallel to the surface was observed (using optical microscope) being several μm thick. It only occurs where the crystal is implanted and displays a different etching rate with respect to the bulk.

The set of etching and topographic experiments obtained during the last experiment confirmed that the implantation by hydrogen produces a layer of inverse polarization, compared to the bulk, around the implanted layer. The traversed zone and the bulk are piezoelectrically deformed (when an electric field is applied) with an opposite sign with respect to the inversed layer which surrounds the implanted one. The total misorientation between the inversed layer and the bulk results from an algebraic sum of shear and relative modification of the cell parameter. The calculated and experimental line-bulk misorientations under a dc field are in fair agreement [1].

The reason why the implantation creates the layer of inversed polarization is probably related to the strain imposed by the implanted ions in the neighborhood of their locations. This strain-related occurrence of inversed polarization regions was checked and confirmed: small reversed domains of inversed polarization were often observable after etching around the scratches produced by a diamond stylus on the polished surfaces of our samples.

Further experimentation is required to resolve the uncertainty of the origin of observed diffracted intensity increasing under the electrodes. An expansion, or contraction, of the image of the bulk occurs according to the orientation of the applied field. This expansion (or contraction) of the image of the bulk is associated with a curvature of the reflecting planes under an applied field. The corresponding radius of curvature is of the order of several meters for an applied field about 30 kV/cm. In addition, the origin of the layer-bulk misorientation without an applied field is not clear.

References

- [1] P. Rejmankova, J. Baruchel and P. Moretti, *Physica B* 226 (1996) 293-303.