| ESRF | Experiment title: Stability and structures of lead hydrides under pressure | Experiment number: HC-3680 | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Beamline: ID27 Shifts: 12 | Date of experiment: 10/04/2018 from: 06/04/2018 to: 10/04/2018 Local contact(s): V. Svitlyk V. | Date of report : 17/02/2019 <i>Received at ESRF:</i> | | | |
| Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists): Guigue Bastien* Pépin Charles* Loubeyre Paul* | | | | | |

Report:

It is now a proven fact that hydrogen solubility in metals is drastically increased under pressure. Hydrides with nontraditional stoichiometry are predicted for most metals and few have now been observed, such as LiH₆ [1], H₃S [2] or FeH5 [3]. Many of these superhydrides are predicted to be high temperature superconductors and the observation of a record critical temperature (Tc) of 200 K in compressed H₂S has attracted a lot of interest [4]. These systems could also possess other intriguing properties associated to their dense hydrogenic sublattices. PbH₄ and PbH₈ have been predicted stable above 130 GPa [5,6]. The lead-hydrogen system is special because it combines one of the heaviest elements (Pb) to the lightest (H) with the implication of a clear time scale dynamic separation between the two constituents. As a consequence, diffusive or liquid-like ground states of the H sublattice could exist [5]. Pb hydrides are also candidates as high-Tc superconductors, with the electron-phonon coupling due essentially to the H atoms in a molecular H₂ form.

The aim of this proposal was to investigate the stability and structures of lead hydrides under pressure. We therefore performed a detailed structural study of the lead hydrides synthesized directly under pressure by laser heating mixtures of $Pb+H_2$ up to 145 GPa. We also studied a lead sample embedded in Helium as reference. A major goal was to investigate the possible existence of lead polyhydrides. We have carried out four experiments at 300 K, in different pressure ranges. The sample was annealed using a YAG laser, at various pressures (see Table 1). The temperature reached was about 1300 K. The pressure was measured using a gold volumic gauge. The volume was measured using angular-dispersive x-ray diffraction. The conditions of the experiments are summarized in **Table 1**.

| Name | Sample | Culet diameter (µm) | Pressure range (GPa) | T (K) | P laser annealing (GPa) |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Run 1 | Pb+He | 300 | 18 - 55 | 300 | |
| Run 2 | Pb+H ₂ | 100 | 47 - 77 | 300 | 47, 77 |
| Run 3 | Pb+H ₂ | 100 | 90 - 145 | 300 | 126, 129, 140 |
| Run 4 | Pb+H ₂ | 70 | 0.3 - 50 | 300 | 25, 44 |

Table 1: Conditions of the four experimental runs.

The equation of state derived form these experiments are plotted on Fig. 1. We performed a Vinet fit of our data with $V_0 = 20.2(4) \text{ A}^3/\text{atom}$, $K_0 = 44(4)$ GPa and $K_0' = 5.55(20)$. The deviation observed coul be well explained by the texturation of the crystal phases after laser-heating, as it tends to form monocrystals (or at least heavily textured phases). Laser-heating in the 25 - 140 GPa range did not lead to any change in volume,

and thus in stoichiometry. Nonetheless, laser-heating was sufficient to initiate the *hcp*-to-*bcc* phase transition of lead at 135 GPa, indicating that we brought enough energy to the system to help it transit to a more stable state.

This experiment shows that there is no stable hydride in the Pb-H system up to 140 GPa, contrarily to what was predicted. Interestingly, lead seems to be inert regarding a reaction with hydrogen, in the same way that gold is. Moreover, *ab initio* calculations seemingly underestimated the equilibrium pressure of the PbH₄ hydride, and this study should contribute to help DFT predictions being more accurate.



Figure 1: Evolution of the volume as a function of pressure for Pb embedded in H_2 and He, together with the Vinet fits of the data.

References:

- [1] C. Pépin, PNAS 112, 7673 (2015)
- [2] B. Guigue, Phys. Rev. B 95, 020104(R) (2017)
- [3] C. Pépin, Science 357, 382 (2017)
- [4] A. P. Drozdov, Nature 525, 73-76 (2015)
- [5] P. Zaleski-Ejgierd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 037002 (2011)