<b>ESRF</b>	Experiment title: Fast scanning SAXS of hydrated biological cells	Experiment number: SC5189
Beamline: ID13	Date of experiment:   from: 11.11.2021   to: 15.11.2021	<b>Date of report</b> : 16.2.2022
Shifts: 12	Local contact(s): Manfred Burghammer	Received at ESRF:
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):		

Sarah Köster Sophie-Charlotte August\* Jan-Philipp Burchert Chiara Cassini\*

## **Report:**

**Overview:** This experiment was a continuation of experiment SC5002, where we aimed to apply fast scanning small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) to hydrated biological cells. Scanning SAXS on freeze-dried cells is a well-established method [1-5], while hydrated cells remain a challenging sample type [6-7], as they need to remain in aqueous environment for the duration of the scans, which diminishes the contrast and requires an adequate sample chamber. Hydrated cells are closer to physiological conditions than freeze-dried cells, so an effective way to perform scanning SAXS on them is an important step towards the collection of more realistic data. As experiment SC5002 had to be performed remotely, the samples got dirty during their journey to the ESRF (as detailed in the experimental report for experiment SC5002), hence the need for a continuation of the experiment.

**Experimental setup and data collection:** We measured MDCK-K8YFP cells (Madin-Darby Canine Kidney cells stably transfected to express human keratin 8 with an EYFP tag [8]). The samples were prepared by growing cells on silicon-rich nitride windows with a total membrane area of  $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$ ; the cells were then chemically fixed with formaldehyde and stored in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for transportation to the synchrotron. Once at the synchrotron, the sample chamber was prepared by sandwiching two silicon-rich nitride windows together, with their flat sides facing each other. A polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) spacer, approximately as thick as the cells (about 20  $\mu$ m) was placed on the silicon frame of one window, to make sure that the PBS wetted the cells at all times with the lowest amount of liquid possible, thus minimizing the background scattering. The PDMS spacer was sealed along its outer rim with two-component silicone to avoid water permeation and evaporation. The sandwich was kept together by metallic frames screwed together. The experiments were collected by an Eiger 4M detector (Dectris) placed 0.98 m away from the sample. Single cells or small groups of cells were located with the in-line optical microscope and then scanned in fast scanning mode [4-5] with a step size of either 1 $\mu$ m or 0.5  $\mu$ m in both the horizontal and vertical direction, with exposure times ranging from 5 ms to 20 ms. A step size smaller than the beam size was deliberately chosen, in order to better

resolve cells in the dark field contrast (oversampling approach). In addition, we scanned one freeze-dried sample (NIH-3T3 cells [9]) in order to compare the signal from freeze-dried and hydrated cells.

**Results:** Because of the large amount of data collected, data analysis is still in progress. In a typical dark field contrast image, cell shapes can be distinguished in the scans of hydrated samples (Figure 1a). Moreover, by performing an analysis of the main orientation of the scattering patterns (for a detailed description of the analysis, based on the computation of the circular mean of the azimuthal intensity profile obtained by radial averaging of the scattering pattern, please refer to [10]), we find random orientations for the empty (cell-free) positions, while the cellular positions clearly have non-random orientations (Figure 1b). By looking more closely at single cells, the main orientation at each position appears to be in very good accord with the cell shape, especially for elongated cells (Figure 1c). This reveals that, despite the low electron density difference between the cells and their aqueous environment, we have sufficient contrast to detect the orientation of nanometric structures at sub-cellular positions.



**Figure 1: a)** Dark field contrast image of a scan of a group of fixed-hydrated cells (step size 1  $\mu$ m x 1  $\mu$ m, exposure time 10 ms). Scale bar: 25  $\mu$ m. The black box marks the area displayed in **c**. **b**) Main orientation at each scan position. Scale bar: 25  $\mu$ m. The black box marks the area displayed in **c**. **c**) Dark field contrast image of the area marked by the black box in **a**, **b**. The black lines indicate the local orientation at each scan position. Scale bar: 8  $\mu$ m.

## **References:**

[1] Weinhausen, Britta, et al. "X-ray nano-diffraction on cytoskeletal networks." *New Journal of Physics* 14.8 (2012): 085013.

[2] Piazza, Valeria, et al. "Revealing the structure of stereociliary actin by X-ray nanoimaging." *ACS Nano* 8.12 (2014): 12228-12237.

[3] Hémonnot, Clément YJ, et al. "Following DNA Compaction During the Cell Cycle by X-ray Nanodiffraction." *ACS Nano* 10.12 (2016): 10661-10670.

[4] Nicolas, J-D., et al. "Scanning X-ray diffraction on cardiac tissue: automatized data analysis and processing." *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 24.6 (2017): 1163-1172.

[5] Cassini, Chiara, et al. "Large field-of-view scanning small-angle X-ray scattering of mammalian cells." *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 27.4 (2020).

[6] Weinhausen, Britta, and Sarah Köster. "Microfluidic devices for X-ray studies on hydrated cells." *Lab on a Chip* 13.2 (2013): 212-215.

[7] Weinhausen, Britta, et al. "Scanning X-ray nanodiffraction on living eukaryotic cells in microfluidic environments." *Physical Review Letters* 112.8 (2014): 088102.

[8] Windoffer, Reinhard, et al. "Quantitative Mapping of Keratin Networks in 3D." *bioRxiv* (2021)

[9] Todaro, George J., and Howard Green. "Quantitative studies of the growth of mouse embryo cells in culture and their development into established lines." *The Journal of Cell Biology* 17.2 (1963): 299-313. [10] Nicolas, Jan-David, et al. "X-ray diffraction and second harmonic imaging reveal new insights into structural alterations caused by pressure-overload in murine hearts." *Scientific Reports* 10.1 (2020): 1-15.