<b>ESRF</b>	Experiment title: Investigating Rh and Pt nanoparticles formation and reactivity during reverse water gas shift and methane dry reforming by <i>operando</i> XAS				Experiment number: CH-6269
Beamline: BM 31	Date of from:	experiment: 28/02/2022	to:	07/03/2022	<b>Date of report</b> : 04/10/2022
<b>Shifts:</b> 18	Local co Dr. Drag	ontact(s): gos Stoian			Received at ESRF:

Names and affiliations of applicants (\* indicates experimentalists):

Dr. Chiara Negri<sup>1</sup>\*, Dr. Cesare Atzori<sup>2</sup>\*, Gianluca Moroni<sup>1</sup>, Luca Nardi<sup>1</sup>\*, Riccardo Colombo<sup>1</sup>\*, Federico Alleva<sup>1</sup>\*, Prof. Matteo Maestri<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Laboratory of Catalysis and Catalytic Processes, Department of Energy, Politecnico di Milano, Via La Masa 34, 20156, Milano, Italy

2 European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, CS 40220, Grenoble Cedex 9, 38043 France

## **Report:**

Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O3 and Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are considered active catalysts in CO<sub>2</sub> valorisation (e.g., Reverse Water-Gas Shift (RWGS – CO<sub>2</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>  $\leftrightarrow$  CO+H<sub>2</sub>O), Dry Reforming of Methane (DRM – CH<sub>4</sub>+CO<sub>2</sub> $\leftrightarrow$  CO+H<sub>2</sub>O)).[1] Despite being largely studied,[2,3] a comprehensive picture of the structure-activity relationship at a fundamental level for these catalysts is still under way. Specifically, there is still a poor understanding of the reactions kinetic, by means of identifying the rate determining step (i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> activation on the catalysts surface).[4] Thus, lot of efforts in our group have been dedicated to microkinetically model the catalysts and to investigate their catalytic activity, in correlation with the catalyst structural characteristics.

The experiment CH-6269 at BM31 was aimed at investigating 4 wt.%Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 4 wt.%Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts to rationalize the catalytic bahaviour observed during kinetic measurements performed in our laboratories in terms of metal oxidation state, nuclearity, structure and dimensions of the formed metal nanoparticles, reaction mechanisms, and influence of compositional gas feed parameters. In particular, we investigated by *operando* XAS and *operando* PXRD the reduction of the Rh and Pt catalysts and the consequent formation of metal nanoparticles, the surface coverage of adsorbates and the structure of the metal active sites under different operating conditions for CO<sub>2</sub> activation, RWGS and DRM.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) data were collected using a quartz capillary with 2.5 (Rh) or 1 mm (Pt) external diameter. The catalysts were pressed and sieved, and the 75 and 106  $\mu$ m fraction was selected for the measurement. Rh K- and Pt L<sub>3</sub>-edge measurements were performed in transmission mode, employing a double-crystal Si (111) monochromator for the incident energy scan, and ionization chambers to detect incident (I<sub>0</sub>) and transmitted (I<sub>1,2</sub>) photons. An Rh or Pt metal foil was measured simultaneously using a third ionization chamber I<sub>2</sub>, for energy calibration purposes. XAS spectra of ~ 5 min each (Rh energy range: 23070 – 24170; Pt energy range 11450 – 12600 eV; energy step = 0.5 eV; acquisition time of 70 ms/point in the whole energy range) were measured during the pretreatment in H<sub>2</sub> (10 Ncc/min–5% H<sub>2</sub>/He balanced) and during RWGS (0.5-10% CO<sub>2</sub>/0.5-10% H<sub>2</sub>–He balanced) or MDR (1-16% CH<sub>4</sub>/2-20% CO<sub>2</sub>–He balanced). The gas composition from the reactor outlet has been continuously monitored by means of a mass spectrometer

*Operando* PXRD patterns were collected using a 45.786(1) keV monochromatic beam obtained from a sagittally focussing Si 111 double crystal monochromator. Diffracted intensities were collected by the means of a scintillation image plate detector (Dexela-Perkin Elmer 2923) having 3888x3072 pixels of 75x75 µm size whose

position calibration was made by refining it against a NIST LaB<sub>6</sub> standard. Integration of the images into 2D patterns was made with the software available and developed at the SNBL (Swiss Norwegian BeamLines).

We monitored Rh and Pt reduction during the H<sub>2</sub>-pretreatment, and we observed the appeasence of the tipical feature of metal Rh and Pt. The spectra allowed us to evaluate the average particle size (c.a. 5 nm, for both metals). Subsequently, we exposed the reduced catalysts to the reactive gas mixxture (RWGS and DRM, respectively) and we monitored  $CO_2$  (and  $CH_4$ ) conversion from MS. The spectra acquired in reaction conditions point to the stability of the investigated systems, excluding major deactivation due to sintering phenomena and C deposition in the monitored time frame. To further assess the possible formation Rh or Pt hydrade and/or carbide in the applied experimental conditions, difference XANES spectra [5] will be calculated. This approach would contribute to sheading light on the catalysts behaviour and reaction mechanism.

Furthermore, since previous kinetic experiments have shown that  $CO_2$  was activated by both catalysts without hydrogen aid, to better understand the nature of the observed  $CO_2$  activation on the two active metals, XAS and PXRD experiments with 10 vol.%  $CO_2$ /He were performed. We observed that  $CO_2$  dosage at 600°C on the Rhodium-based catalyst induced dramatic changes in the spectra and in the PXRD diffraction pattern. Specifically, we observed an almost complete oxidation of Rhodium by  $CO_2$ , with spectral features suggesting the formation of Rh<sup>4+</sup>. In parallel, the diffraction patterns highlighted the disappearence of the reflexes associated with Rh nanoparticles, indicating that in the applied experimental condition  $CO_2$  activation promotes the disassembling of the previously formed nanoparticles. Interestingly, with both techniques we observed that when H<sub>2</sub> is fed after the  $CO_2$  activation step, the oxidized Rh<sup>4+</sup> is reduced back to Rh<sup>0</sup>, with the reaggregation of the nanoparticles. This unveils the reversible nature of such oxidation. On the other hand, the spectra collected on the platinum-based catalyst do not change their features upon  $CO_2$  dosage, and Pt oxidation state is preserved during  $CO_2$  activation. The PXRD data also confirmed the stbility of the nanoparticles during the reaction. The collected data clearly highlighted a different interaction between  $CO_2$  and the active metal phase on the two catalysts, pointing to different  $CO_2$  activation mechanisms, also in light of the different affinity towards oxygen of Rh and Pt.

The catalysts behaviour under reaction conditions for RWGS and DRM was investigated at different temperature and reactants ratios, unveiling the different structural properties of the two catalysts.

The *operando* XAS and PXRD study we performed on BM31 is clarifying the structure of the Rh and Pt nanoparticles formed during the thermal activations, the evolution of their behaviour during the  $CO_2$  activation and under reaction conditions and the effect of tuning the  $CO_2/CH_4$  and  $CO_2/H_2$  ratios on nanoparticles adsorbates surface coverage. This information is crucial to achieve a complete picture of the structure-activity relationship in Rh- and Pt-based catalysts, which is needed to develop a comprehensive structure-dependent microkinetic models and, subsequently, to optimize the catalytic processes. The possibility to follow the dynamic changes occurring at and on the metal nanoparticles under reaction conditions is allowing us to deepen the understanding of the reactive routes in metal-based catalysts for  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  activation.

[1] M. González-Castaño et. al, React. Chem. Eng., 2021, 6, 954

[2]a) Y.A. Daza, et. al, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 49675; b) L.F. Bobadilla, et. al, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 7455; c)

N.A.K. Aramounia, et. al, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 2018, 82, 2570; d) R.

[3] R. Cheula, M. Maestri, Catal. Today, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2021.05.016

[4] L. Dietz, et. al, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 4959

[5] A. L. Bugaev, et al, Catal. Today, 2017, 283, 119; A. L. Bugaev, et al, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 12029