

In response to the requirement of II we have introduced in the sample cell a transparent and rotatable capacitor allowing a DC field to be applied in a fixed crystallographic direction. The aim of the present experiment was to test the new cell in the collection of diffraction data at about 276 K (3 °C) with a CCD detector. In order to monitor a possible radiation-induced reversal of the HT para- → ferroelectric transition, we intended to use a scintillation detector for measuring at regular intervals the phase-specific 0 5 0 reflection, a set of reflections $h k \ell$ with $\ell \neq 0$ that are split in a multidomain ferroelectric crystal, *cf.* Experiment Report 01-02-616, and as well reflections for scaling purposes.

Preliminary work: Prior to the diffraction work the operation of the Peltier element of the sample cell was checked over the temperature range 35 - 0 °C (308 - 273 K) and the relative flows of dry and moist N₂ gas were calibrated in this range in order to maintain the desired RH.

Crystallographic work: A single crystal of RS was mounted on the KUMA6 diffractometer, heated up to 30 °C, and from a few CCD exposures an orientation matrix was calculated for the paraelectric crystal. In the next step: positioning of the capacitor by rotation and tilting, prior to cooling the crystal down below T_c^{high} , we found that the assignment of the direction of the polar axis \mathbf{a} relative to the diffractometer axes must be incorrect, and in disagreement with the assignment from the OM transformed to the scintillation detector geometry. The orientation of the capacitor was determined from the latter OM, and an electric DC field of 250 V/cm was applied along the \mathbf{a} axis, during cooling of the crystal down to 4 °C. This field was then maintained throughout the data acquisition.

Data were collected in sets of 250 - 300 frames. For each frame ω was scanned 0.5°, the exposure time was 10 sec. Frames receiving intensities above the saturation threshold of the detector were remeasured with successively reduced exposure times until no saturation occurred. At the end of about 19 hrs. 48800 reflections had been collected. The temperature was kept at 4.1 ± 0.3 °C during the measurements.

Unfortunately, there seemed to be an error in the transfer of the CCD OM to the scintillation detector geometry, or errors in the zero-points of some diffractometer axes. Therefore we were not able to do the monitoring with the point detector as had been planned. There were no signs of split peaks in the CCD frames. However, the resolution of this detector is not adequate to reveal the small degree of splitting expected in a multidomain crystal. The intensities of 0 -5 0 and 0 5 0 were measured with CCD at regular intervals along with several other reflections. As in our previous studies we observed that data for the ferroelectric crystal must be collected rapidly as radiation effects are only delayed but not eliminated even in a conditioned environment. A total data acquisition time of about 5 - 7 hrs. including a set of standard reflections for scaling seems appropriate. The radiation sensitivity of ferroelectric RS apparently has not been reported before. Clearly, it is important that the X-ray study is done without interruption because of the radiation-induced changes in the crystal. In the present experiment there was a delay of several hours between calculation of the first OM from CCD frames and start of the data collection, largely due to the unexpected difficulties related to the OM in the CCD mode. And for reasons explained above it is essential to follow a possible development of the structure by using a point detector for measurement of standard reflections and analyses of line profiles in combination with a CCD for fast data collection.

The performance of the sample cell was successful and we are very satisfied with this result.