

Experiment Report Form

The double page inside this form is to be filled in by all users or groups of users who have had access to beam time for measurements at the ESRF.

Once completed, the report should be submitted electronically to the User Office using the **Electronic Report Submission Application:**

<http://193.49.43.2:8080/smis/servlet/UserUtils?start>

Reports supporting requests for additional beam time

Reports can now be submitted independently of new proposals – it is necessary simply to indicate the number of the report(s) supporting a new proposal on the proposal form.

The Review Committees reserve the right to reject new proposals from groups who have not reported on the use of beam time allocated previously.

Reports on experiments relating to long term projects

Proposers awarded beam time for a long term project are required to submit an interim report at the end of each year, irrespective of the number of shifts of beam time they have used.

Published papers

All users must give proper credit to ESRF staff members and proper mention to ESRF facilities which were essential for the results described in any ensuing publication. Further, they are obliged to send to the Joint ESRF/ ILL library the complete reference and the abstract of all papers appearing in print, and resulting from the use of the ESRF.

Should you wish to make more general comments on the experiment, please note them on the User Evaluation Form, and send both the Report and the Evaluation Form to the User Office.

Deadlines for submission of Experimental Reports

- 1st March for experiments carried out up until June of the previous year;
- 1st September for experiments carried out up until January of the same year.

Instructions for preparing your Report

- fill in a separate form for each project or series of measurements.
- type your report, in English.
- include the reference number of the proposal to which the report refers.
- make sure that the text, tables and figures fit into the space available.
- if your work is published or is in press, you may prefer to paste in the abstract, and add full reference details. If the abstract is in a language other than English, please include an English translation.



	Experiment title: Structural Biology of the Regulation of Bacterial Conjugation	Experiment number: MX-480
Beamline:	Date of experiment: from: December 12th to: December 13th	Date of report: February 2nd
Shifts: 1	Local contact(s): Joanne MCCARTHY	<i>Received at ESRF:</i>
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists): Gabriel Moncalian Dept of Molecular Biology, Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain)		

Report:

Total number of crystals screened for data collection: 10

Number of crystals from which usable data were measured: 4

Type of Experiment (eg. MAD, SAD, MIR, MR, ...): MAD

For SAD OR MAD experiments:

Anomalous Scatterer: Se and Br

No. of Anomalous scatterers/AU: 4 Se and 2 Br

Wavelengths/Energies used: se inf 0.9793, se peak 0.9791, se remote 0.9756, br inf 0.9198, br peak 0.9194, br remote 0.9168

Approximate crystal dimensions in microns: 0.1

Space Group: P21

Unit Cell: 38.25 92.10 91.90 90.0 91.63 90.0

Data-collection parameters:

Resolution of data collected: 2.5 Å

Exposure time in seconds/frame: 1

Detector Type: ADSC Q210 2D

Data-reduction statistics:

How many complete data sets were recorded? 2 data sets of KorA native crystal, 1 data set at each Inflexion, peak and remote Selenium wavelengths, 1 data set at each Inflexion, peak and remote Bromine wavelengths of a Se/Br KorA derivative crystal.

Summary of data processing statistics:

Data set processed with mosflm and scala

native																	
N	1/d ²	Dmin(A)	Rmrg	Rfull	Rcum	Ranom	Nanom	Av_I	SIGMA	I/sigma	sd	Mn(I)/sd	Nmeas	Nref	Ncent	FRCBIAS	Nbias
\$\$																	
1	0.0174	7.59	0.042	0.000	0.042	0.000	0	112035.	8457.6	13.2	6944.	25.8	1797	574	28	-0.007	646
2	0.0347	5.37	0.044	0.000	0.043	0.000	0	84640.	6690.3	12.7	5517.	23.7	3467	1179	28	-0.010	1209
3	0.0521	4.38	0.050	0.000	0.047	0.000	0	88857.	7956.3	11.2	6249.	22.4	4850	1602	20	-0.015	1721
4	0.0694	3.79	0.062	0.000	0.051	0.000	0	70969.	7878.4	9.0	5175.	20.9	5849	1915	17	-0.022	2089
5	0.0868	3.39	0.069	0.000	0.055	0.000	0	48902.	5988.6	8.2	3829.	18.4	6738	2173	19	-0.030	2438
6	0.1042	3.10	0.081	0.000	0.058	0.000	0	28351.	4990.0	5.7	2589.	14.6	7370	2356	8	-0.021	2534
7	0.1215	2.87	0.117	0.000	0.060	0.000	0	10020.	1687.4	5.9	1614.	8.8	7786	2454	4	-0.051	2851
8	0.1389	2.68	0.157	0.000	0.063	0.000	0	6514.	1458.5	4.5	1583.	6.0	8284	2609	2	-0.088	3040
9	0.1562	2.53	0.235	0.000	0.066	0.000	0	3931.	1302.8	3.0	1588.	3.8	8719	2723	2	-0.102	3260
10	0.1736	2.40	0.390	0.000	0.069	0.000	0	2222.	1194.6	1.9	1662.	2.1	9296	2903	4	-0.108	3535
se peak																	
N	1/d ²	Dmin(A)	Rmrg	Rfull	Rcum	Ranom	Nanom	Av_I	SIGMA	I/sigma	sd	Mn(I)/sd	Nmeas	Nref	Ncent	FRCBIAS	Nbias
\$\$																	
1	0.0111	9.49	0.041	0.000	0.041	0.045	287	81594.	5279.4	15.5	4023.	30.4	756	238	36	-0.034	229
2	0.0222	6.71	0.048	0.000	0.044	0.040	596	43124.	4583.6	9.4	2202.	29.2	1478	485	46	-0.049	479
3	0.0333	5.48	0.051	0.000	0.046	0.040	699	21173.	2094.3	10.1	1242.	24.6	1658	594	33	-0.056	678
4	0.0444	4.74	0.051	0.000	0.047	0.047	881	19842.	1860.3	10.7	1268.	22.7	2308	778	41	-0.051	808
5	0.0556	4.24	0.054	0.000	0.048	0.054	1018	16909.	1737.9	9.7	1217.	20.0	2679	866	40	-0.043	1041
6	0.0667	3.87	0.066	0.000	0.050	0.058	1152	12006.	1427.5	8.4	1108.	15.7	3078	1005	38	-0.050	1090
7	0.0778	3.59	0.077	0.000	0.053	0.068	1259	9125.	1124.0	8.1	1120.	12.2	3429	1127	44	-0.052	1246
8	0.0889	3.35	0.091	0.000	0.056	0.079	1379	7791.	1182.2	6.6	1181.	9.6	3723	1243	46	-0.047	1426
9	0.1000	3.16	0.105	0.096	0.060	0.080	1443	7775.	1775.1	4.4	1284.	7.2	3889	1292	44	-0.027	1387
10	0.1111	3.00	0.198	0.000	0.064	0.177	1543	2417.	808.3	3.0	1095.	3.5	4242	1406	45	-0.027	1582
\$\$																	
br peak																	
N	1/d ²	Dmin(A)	Rmrg	Rfull	Rcum	Ranom	Nanom	Av_I	SIGMA	I/sigma	sd	Mn(I)/sd	Nmeas	Nref	Ncent	FRCBIAS	Nbias
\$\$																	
1	0.0160	7.90	0.056	0.000	0.056	0.030	567	42262.	4090.8	10.3	1640.	40.5	1546	463	60	0.011	501
2	0.0320	5.59	0.061	0.000	0.057	0.046	929	11693.	1232.5	9.5	567.	29.3	2180	788	55	-0.037	768
3	0.0480	4.56	0.078	0.000	0.062	0.068	1265	7909.	1049.8	7.5	526.	22.3	3332	1112	58	-0.012	1046
4	0.0641	3.95	0.101	0.000	0.068	0.084	1556	4802.	764.9	6.3	502.	15.1	4076	1315	55	0.002	1282
5	0.0801	3.53	0.135	0.000	0.074	0.121	1768	3043.	650.1	4.7	546.	9.0	4708	1553	62	-0.019	1491
6	0.0961	3.23	0.141	0.000	0.081	0.123	2027	3079.	763.2	4.0	621.	5.8	5402	1787	64	-0.026	1764
7	0.1121	2.99	0.283	0.000	0.088	0.271	2153	1076.	455.6	2.4	561.	2.3	5860	1934	63	-0.039	1873
8	0.1281	2.79	1.662	0.000	0.097	1.220	2302	159.	369.3	0.4	549.	0.6	6458	2145	66	-0.011	2085
9	0.1441	2.63	2.962	0.000	0.107	2.055	2474	87.	368.1	0.2	570.	0.3	6950	2334	66	-0.142	2345
10	0.1601	2.50	5.812	0.000	0.118	3.654	2638	46.	384.9	0.1	602.	0.2	7105	2377	61	-0.400	2445

Structure solution (For anomalous scattering experiments only):

If you determined (or attempted to determine) your anomalous sub-structure at ID29, which software did you use?

I am using shelx, BnP, CCP4 and CNS.

Were you able to autotrace your structure?: Not Yet

Comments on your experience at ID29

The user support and the beamline was excellent. Thank you