 ROBL-CRG	<b>Experiment title:</b> <b>Nanophase formation in ZnO implanted with transition or rare earth metal ions</b>	<b>Experiment number:</b> 20-02-634
<b>Beamline:</b> BM 20	<b>Date of experiment:</b> from: 15.07.2006    to: 18.07.2006	<b>Date of report:</b> 23.10.2006
<b>Shifts:</b> 12	<b>Local contact(s):</b> Dr. Norbert Schell	<i>Received at ROBL:</i> 24.10.2006
<b>Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):</b> <b>Shengqiang Zhou*, F. Eichhorn*, N. Schell*, K. Potzger</b> Forschungszentrum Rossendorf, Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Research, P.O.B. 510119, D-01314 Dresden, Germany		

## Report:


Recently due to the potential application in spintronics, both magnetic/semiconductor hybrid heterostructure and diluted magnetic semiconductor (DMS) have attracted huge research attention [1]. In both research fields, GaAs is the most intensively studied material. Epitaxial MnAs and transition metal (e.g. Fe) were achieved on GaAs substrates due to their structure compatibility: i.e. Mn-based metallic compounds have common III/column atoms with GaAs, while Fe/GaAs has a cube-on-cube orientation with a small lattice mismatch of -1.4% [2, 3]. Room temperature spin injection was reported from MnAs [4] and Fe [5] into GaAs. In parallel, Mn doped GaAs has been demonstrated to be a DMS with a Curie temperature of 110 K [6]. Very recently, wide-band-gap semiconductors (GaN and ZnO) doped with transition metals were reported to be DMS with Curie temperatures above room temperature [7]. Nevertheless, the origin of the observed ferromagnetism is still controversial, e.g. ferromagnetic clusters [8]. In contrast, only a few investigations deal with epitaxial magnetic/ZnO(or GaN) heterostructures. This is partially due to the chemical incompatibility, the different crystal symmetry or the large lattice mismatch between the 3d-ferromagnet and ZnO. For instance, Fe is a bcc crystal, while hcp MnAs and Co have a very large lattice mismatch with ZnO (12% and 23%, respectively). In this paper, we made an extensive investigation on the structural and magnetic properties evolution of Fe implanted ZnO upon annealing, and demonstrate the possibility to form epitaxial magnetic Zn-ferrite embedded in ZnO. With respect to the crystal symmetry and lattice mismatch, our results suggest that other ferrites, which have been epitaxially grown onto MgO, SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, and Y<sub>0.15</sub>Zr<sub>0.85</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and exhibit rich magnetic properties [9], could be epitaxially embedded inside or grown onto ZnO.

From the experiment we have reached two conclusions:


- (1) By correlating the structural and magnetic properties of Fe-implanted ZnO, we found either bcc-Fe or partially inverted ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals are the origin of the observed ferromagnetism.
- (2) We demonstrate the possibility to form epitaxial magnetic Zn-ferrite embedded in ZnO, and the epitaxial relationship is ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (111)[110]//ZnO(0001)[1120].

Fig. 1 shows the SR-XRD patterns for the as-implanted and annealed samples. For the as-implanted sample, Fe nanoparticles were observed, and no other Fe-oxide ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , and  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) particles were detected. After 823 K and 15 min annealing, larger and more Fe nanoparticles are formed. After 1073 K and 15 min annealing, the Fe(110) peak almost disappeared and the sample already shows an indication for the presence of  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . After 3.5 hours annealing at 1073 K, crystalline and oriented  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$  particles are clearly identified. The inset shows a zoom of the Fe(110) peak to show the development of Fe nanoparticles more clearly.

Fig. 2 shows the pole figure for  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4(511)$  and  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4(333)$ . Both diffraction lines have the same Bragg angle in the cubic  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . The sample tilt,  $\theta$ , is the angle by which the surface is tilted out of the diffraction plane. The angle of rotation about the surface normal is denoted by  $\phi$ , which ranges from  $-60^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$ . The pole figure shows poles at  $\sim 39^\circ$ ,  $56^\circ$  and  $70^\circ$ , respectively, with sixfold symmetry and an indication of a tail from  $\text{ZnO}(11\bar{2}0)$ . It is consistent with the theoretic  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4(511) / (333)$  pole figure viewed along  $[111]$  with rotation twins. The in-plane orientation relationship is  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4[110]//\text{ZnO}[11\bar{2}0]$ .



**Fig. 1:** SR-XRD patterns of Fe implanted ZnO reveal the second phase development (from bcc-Fe to  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ ) upon annealing.



**Fig. 2:** Pole figure of  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4(511)$  reveals the epitaxy of  $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ .

## References

1. H. Ohno, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **17**, 275 (2002).
2. M. Tanaka, et al., J. Appl. Phys. **76**, 6278 (1994).
3. A. K. Das, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 087203 (2003).
4. M. Ramsteiner, et al., Phys. Rev. B **66**, 081304 (2002).
5. H. J. Zhu, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 016601 (2001).
6. Y. Ohno, et al., Nature **402**, 790 (1999).
7. A review by A. H. MacDonald, et al., Nature Materials **4**, 195 (2005).
8. Shengqiang Zhou, et al., J. Appl. Phys. to be published (2006).
9. A review by Y. Suzuki, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. **31**, 265 (2001).