ESRF	Experiment title: High Resolution Synchrotron Diffraction on the Spin Driven Jahn-TellerEffect Candidate Compound MnSc ₂ S ₄	Experiment number : HE-2338
Beamline:	Date of experiment:	Date of report:
ID31	from: 26. Jan. 2007 to: 30. Jan. 2007	13. Aug. 2008
Shifts:	Local contact(s): I.Margiolaki	Received at ESRF:
9		
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):		
A. Krimmel, M. Mücksch, V. Tsurkan, A. Loidl, S. Horn		
Institute of Physics, Centre for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism,		
University of Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany		
P. Thompson, ESRF, BP 220, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France		

Report: Geometric frustration of spin and orbital degrees of freedom leads to highly degenerate ground states. Orbital degeneracy is usually lifted by a coupling of orbital to lattice degrees of freedom inducing orbital order with a lowering of the crystal symmetry, i.e. the orbital Jahn-Teller effect. The lifting of the spin degeneracy leading to spin order has been discussed in similarly via the spin-driven Jahn-Teller effect [1,2]. This effect may be purely dynamic in nature without involving static lattice distortions. It has been discussed for magnetically frustrated spinel compounds, in particular for Cr based ACr₂X₄ (A=Zn,Cd,Hg, X=O, S, Se) [3,4] and for MSc₂S₄ (M=Mn, Fe) [5,6]. In case of MnSc₂S₄, the magnetic spinonly ions Mn²⁺ form a diamond lattice with inherent geometric frustration. A Curie-Weiss temperature Θ_{CW} =-22 K indicated antiferromagnetic (AFM) exchange. However, the compound shows a complex ordering process around 2 K into a non-collinear magnetic structure [6]. This magnetic structure is described by a propagation vector \mathbf{k} =(0.75, 0.75, 0) indicating 3 spin rotations in a fourfold supercell within the *a-b* plane. High resolution neutron powder diffraction experiments gave no indications for any structural changes. However, the anisotropic cycloidic spin structure is incompatible with the cubic Fd3msymmetry of the spinel structure. We therefore perfomed high-resolution synchrotron powder diffraction experiments on ID31 to look for corresponding lattice distortions. The main experimental difficulty was the low ordering temperature of 2 K. The sample was placed in an aluminum container and mounted in a liquid-helium-cooled cryostat. Measurements could be performed in a temperature range 1.6 K - 40 K. An incident wavelength of λ =0.3995 Å in combination with a large range of the scattering angle $-5.964^{\circ} < 2\theta < 67.968^{\circ}$ allowed measurements up to 17.8 Å⁻¹ of the scattering vector Q=4 $\pi \sin(\theta) / \lambda$. A first indication for the high quality of the sample was given by the observation of sharp Bragg reflections up to the highest available Q-values. However, no difference in the diffraction patterns of MnSc₂S₄ at 1.6 K (*T*<*T*_N) and 5 K (*T*>*T*_N) could be observed, as illustrated in Fig. 1. There is no peak splitting nor additional superlattice reflection. Even a peak broadening could not be detected. This zero result strongly points to a symmetry breaking on a local scale only.

We therefore decided to continue the measurements on $ZnCr_2S_4$, a further magnetically frustrated spinel compound with strong spin-lattice coupling, as mentioned above. $ZnCr_2S_4$ shows two first order magnetic phase transitions at $T_{N1}=15$ K and $T_{N2}=8$ K. Both magnetic phase transitions are accompanied by structural distortions evidenced by a corresponding peak splitting in the diffractograms. The resulting phase diagram is shown in Fig. 2 where the temperature dependent lattice constant (referred to the cubic one) is shown. At T_{N1} , a cubic to tetragonal phase transition takes place, followed by a further transition from tetragonal to orthorhombic symmetry. These results, combined with high resolution neutron powder diffraction studies, are currently being published [7].



- [1] Y. Yamashita and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4960 (2000).
- [2] O. Tchernyshyov, R. Moessner, and L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 067203 (2002).
- [3] T. Rudolf et al., New J. Phys. 9, 76 (2007).
- [4] J. Hemberger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 087204 (2006);
 - J. Hemberger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 147203 (2007).
- [5] A. Krimmel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 237402 (2005).
- [6] A. Krimmel et al. Phys. Rev. B 73, 014413 (2006).
- [7] F. Yokaichiaya et al., Phys. Rev. B, submitted for publication (2008).