ESRF	Experiment title: X-ray Raman Scattering Measurements of Different Li-ion Battery Electrolyte Solutions	Experiment number : CH-4573
Beamline:	Date of experiment:	Date of report:
ID20	from: 18.11.2015 to: 24.11.2015	24.02.2016
Shifts: 18	Local contact(s):	Received at ESRF:
	Blanka Detlefs	
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):		
Didem Ketenoglu, Ankara University, Turkey. Manuel Harder *, DESY, 22607 Hamburg, Germany. Georg Spiekermann*, DESY, 22607 Hamburg, Germany. Cevriye Koz *, Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey. Hasan Yavas*, DESY, 22607 Hamburg, Germany.		

Report:

Electrolyte solutions of lithium salts in mixed organic solvents are of great importance for the development of Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Battery performance is strongly influenced by the characteristics of the electrolyte and its components [1-3]. In this work, we have probed how the electronic structure of electrolyte solution is affected by different salt concentrations. Different mixtures of LIB electrolyte solutions were investigated via X-ray Raman Scattering (XRS) technique combined with liquid jet for the first time. Besides, the electronic structure of some cathode materials for Li-O₂ batteries was probed by *ex-situ* XRS measurements to identify the side products.

The elastic energy was set to 9.69 keV with Si (660) analyzers. XRS data with the energy resolution of ~ 700 meV were collected by scanning the incident beam energy. The beamsize on the sample was ~ 8 (V) μ m×16 (H) μ m and the intensity was 4×10¹³ photons/s.

The electrolyte solutions were different molarities of $LiPF_6$ salt (1M and 2M) in EC (Ethylene Carbonate):DMC (Dimethyl Carbonate) (1:1 vol.), 1M $LiPF_6$ in PC (Propylene Carbonate), pure solvent EC:DMC (1:1 vol.) and PC. The liquid samples were filled into the flow cell which had a capillary with the diameter of 1.5 mm. A closed circle flow cell designed at ESRF [4] was used for the liquid sample measurements. For the cathode materials, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) at different conditions (pristine, soaked in electrolyte, discharged, recharged, and discharged 2^{nd} time) were investigated. Thin pellets of CNTs were placed in a small chamber which was coated with Kapton foil windows. Li_2CO_3 , Li_2O_2 and LiOH were used as reference materials. The reference powder materials were measured in quartz capillaries with the diameter of 0.5 mm and 1.0 mm. All the sample preparation process was carried out in a glove box filled with dry argon atmosphere.



Fig.1. The C K-edge XRS spectra of the CNTs and Li₂CO₃



Fig.2. The O *K*-edge XRS spectra of the CNTs, Li_2CO_3 , Li_2O_2 and LiOH



Fig.3. The Li K-edge XRS spectra of CNTs, Li₂CO₃, Li₂O and LiOH



Fig.4. The C *K*-edge XRS spectra of pure EC:DMC (vol. 1:1) and different molarities of LiPF_6 (1M and 2M) in EC:DMC (vol. 1:1)



Fig.5. The O *K*-edge XRS spectra of pure EC:DMC (vol. 1:1) and different molarities of LiPF_6 (1M and 2M) in EC:DMC (vol. 1:1)



Fig.6. The C *K*-edge XRS spectra of pure PC and 1M LiPF₆ in PC

Fig.7. The O *K*-edge XRS spectra of pure PC and $1M \operatorname{LiPF}_6$ in PC

The CNTs were investigated by *ex-situ* XRS measurements to identify the product Li_2O_2 and the side products LiOH and Li_2CO_3 formation after charge and discharge of the CNT electrodes. Fig.1-3 show the comparison of reference materials with CNTs at different conditions for C *K*-, O *K*- and Li *K*-edges. According to the XRS results, Li_2CO_3 is the dominant side product after discharge. In addition to Li_2CO_3 , Li_2O_2 is also observed as the discharge product in CNT cathodes taken from the Li-O₂ battery test cells after 1st discharge. Recently, similar experiments on CNTs have been performed by Yilmaz *et al.* using x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) [5]. The results of XAS and XRS measurements are comparable and in an aggrement. Here, we showed XRS measurements are suitable for this system and for the future *in-operando* experiments can be performed in designed electrochemical cells for Li-O₂ batteries.

C *K*- and O *K*-edges XRS spectra of each different electrolyte solution are presented in Fig. 4-7. C *K*-edges XRS spectra of pure PC and EC:DMC do not exhibit any significant shift with addition of the lithium salt (Fig.4 and Fig.6). In the O *K*-edge XRS spectra of pure solvents and their solutions with LiPF₆ (Fig.5 and Fig.7), the first and second sharp peaks near 533 eV and 536 eV represent transitions from the carbonyl and ring oxygen atoms to the π -antibonding system (π^*), respectively. Transitions from both carbonyl and ring oxygen atoms (1s- σ^*) contribute to the broader third and fourth peaks in the same spectra. Among these features, only the carbonyl 1s- π^* transition near 533 eV exhibits energy shift upon addition of lithium salt. Increasing the Li salt concentration does not affect the transitions from the ring oxygen atoms. In Fig.5, the carbonyl π^* peak (533.28 eV) shifts 0.07 eV for 1M Li salt and 0.17 eV for 2M Li salt, relative to pure EC:DMC. In Fig.7, the shift is 0.1 eV with the addition of Li salt for the carbonyl π^* peak (533.2 eV). The influence of Li⁺ cations on the solvent electronic structure is seen as a shift for the carbonyl π^* feature toward higher energy loss values in the O *K*-edge spectra [6].

Li *K*-, C *K*- and O *K*-edges XRS spectra of different electrolyte solutions and cathode materials provide a fingerprint-like spectra for the next measurements. This study will contribute to a better understanding of electronic structure of LIB electrolyte, before the individual components of the electrolyte solution decomposes under applied voltage. After probing the nature of individual components of LIBs, the main purpose will be to characterize the dynamics of LIB through *in-situ* XRS experiments.

References: [1] D. Aurbach *et al.*, Electrochimica Acta 50, 247-254 (2004). [2] S.S. Zhang *et al.*, Journal of Power Sources 107, 18-23 (2002). [3] D. Aurbach *et al.*, Journal of Power Sources 165, 491-499 (2007). [4] Ch. J. Sahle *et al.*, J. Synchrotron Rad. 22, 1-4 (2015). [5] E. Yilmaz *et al.*, Nano Lett.13, 4679–4684 (2013). [6] J. W. Smith *et al.*, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 23568-23575 (2014).