ESRF	<b>Experiment title:</b> Magnetic excitations across the Mott Insulator - Metal Transition in (Sr <sub>1-x</sub> La <sub>x</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> Ir <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Experiment number: HC2244
Beamline:	Date of experiment:   from: 17.02.2016   to: 23.02.2016	<b>Date of report</b> : 02.09.2016
Shifts:	Local contact(s): Marco Moretti Sala	Received at ESRF:
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):		

Xingye Lu, D. E. McNally, T. Schmitt

Department of Synchrotron Radiation and Nanotechnology, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

## **Report:**

We use resonant elastic and inelastic X-ray scattering at Ir-L3 edge on ID20 of ESRF to study the doping-dependent magnetic order, magnetic excitations and spin-orbit excitons in the bilayer iridate  $(Sr_{1-x}La_x)_3Ir_2O_7$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.065$ ). With increasing doping x, the three-dimensional (3D) long range antiferromagnetic order (LAF) is gradually suppressed and evolves into a short range order (SAF) from x = 0 to 0.05, followed by a transition from 3D SAF to 2D SAF between x = 0.05 and 0.065. Following the evolution of the antiferromagnetic order, the magnetic excitations undergo damping, anisotropic softening and gap collapse. From the lack of *c*-axis magnetic correlations in x = 0.065, we conclude that electron interlayer couplings suppresses the and doping drives  $(Sr_{1-x}La_x)_3Ir_2O_7$  into a 2D SAF correlated metallic state hosting strong antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations. Meanwhile, the spinorbit excitons at  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  decrease in energy by ~70 meV across the transition from 3D to 2D SAF, suggesting that magnetic correlations are crucial for stabilizing the Mott gap.

Figure 1 describes the doping evolution of the magnetic order. Figure 1(a) is a schematic magnetic and electronic phase

measurements were performed at 30 K unless otherwise indicated. diagram of  $(Sr_{1-x}La_x)_3Ir_2O_7$ . The doping levels x measured at T = 30 K are indicated by red circles. We have measured the magnetic Bragg peaks along [H,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 28] and [ $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , L] for x = 0, 0.02, 0.05, and 0.065 using the elastic channel of the RIXS spectrometer. The L scan for x = 0 displays magnetic Bragg peaks from L = 19 to 28 with an intensity modulation, in agreement with previous reports [1]. Upon electron doping, the 3D LAF persists for x = 0.02 but becomes short ranged for x = 0.05, as indicated by the broad peaks along both H and L in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The L scan for the metallic x = 0.05 sample reveals a broad feature superimposed on a flat background, and is well fitted by a sum of the bilayer antiferromagnetic structural factor [1] and a constant background [green solid curve in Fig. 1(d)]. The presence of this broad feature indicates that the magnetic correlation length along c axis has decreased to a very small value comparable with the bilayer distance. This hints that the *c*-axis magnetic correlations supporting the *G*-type AFM are on the verge of disappearing, although the interlayer coupling is still present. The constant background can be attributed to a vanishing of the 3D SAF in a partial volume of the sample. This is in agreement with the percolative nature of the IMT, assuming that charge carriers are suppressing the magnetic order. For x = 0.065, the L scan becomes featureless



 $(Sr_{1-x}La_x)_3Ir_2O_7$ . The dopings used in present study are marked by red circles. (b) L scan of the *c*-axis *G*-type antiferromagnetic order for x = 0. (c), (d) Doping dependent H and L scans across the magnetic Bragg peak (1/2, 1/2, 28). All the

while the broader in-plane magnetic Bragg peak remains and persists at 290K [Fig.1(c) and 1(d)]. This indicates that further doping destroys the interlayer couplings that support the 3D SAF and drives the system into a robust 2D SAF state. This corroborates our explanation of the *L* scan for x = 0.05.

Figure 2 shows the dopingdependent magnetic excitations and the spin-orbit excitons. The in-plane momentum dependent RIXS for x =0, 0.02 and 0.065 are shown as color maps in Figs. 2(a)-(c). For x = 0, the overall dispersion, large magnon gap and spectral-weight distribution are consistent with a previous report measured at the same L [2]. In addition, our results reveal clear dispersive spin-orbit excitons. With increasing La concentration, the magnetic excitations are damped: they broaden in energy and decrease in intensity. The intensity and dispersion of the magnetic



excitations exhibit a strong doping dependence [Figs. 2 and 3]. The dispersions are symmetric about (1/4, 1/4) and change less from x = 0 to x = 0.03. Across the IMT to x = 0.05, the dispersion becomes asymmetric with a different gap size at (1/2, 1/2) and (0, 0). A substantial softening occurs along (1/2, 1/2)-(1/4, 1/4) while the band top at (1/2, 0) remains unchanged. This anisotropic softening is followed by a further softening at (1/4, 1/4)

 $\Delta E_s$  marks the energy difference of the peak positions.

1/4) and, surprisingly, a sizable hardening at (1/2, 0) in x = 0.065 [Figs. 2 and 3]. Furthermore, the large magnon gap in x > 0.05 collapses dramatically in x = 0.065, where the magnetic excitations at (1/2, 1/2) overlap with the elastic magnetic scattering, whereas a weak signal is observed at (0, 0).

We have extracted the magnon dispersions and fitted the dispersions according to the bilayer model [2], the J- $J_2$ - $J_3$  model [3] and the quantum dimer model [4], as shown in Fig. 3. The detailed analysis and related discussions have been described in our manuscripte 'X. Lu *et al.*, arxiv:1608.06208'. A comparison between our results and La doped Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> [3] indicates that the doped itinerant electrons suppress the AFM by weakening the magnetic couplings and drive the system into a 2D SAF correlated metallic state hosting strong AFM spin fluctuations.



Figure 3 Doping dependent dispersions of magnetic excitations for  $(Sr_{1-x}La_x)_3Ir_2O_7$  (x = 0, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05 and 0.065). The red, green and blue curves fits the dispersions for x = 0.02, 0.05 and 0.065 using bilayer model [2], respectively. The pink curve is a fitting of the dispersion for 0.065 according to the *J*-*J*<sub>2</sub>-*J*<sub>3</sub> model [3].

References:

- [1] J. W. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 037204 (2012).
- [2] J. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 157402 (2012).
- [3] H. Gretarsson *et al.*, arXiv:1603.07547 (2016).
- [4] M. Moretti Sala et al., Phys. Rev. B 92, 024405 (2015).