

---

# XDS in DNA. How?

---

Abstraction?

Data Access Layer (DAL)?

What design for inclusion in DNA?

---

# Abstraction?

- It would be nice to have more abstraction in the scheduler requests and responses
    - At the moment they are too Mosflm specific
    - Clean things (many unused elements)
  - Or should we have Data Processing specific requests and responses?
    - It could be a temporary solution
    - But it doesn't meet the design goal of abstraction
-

---

# Data Access Layer?

## ■ Will Store

- User input data through the GUI
    - Type of experiment
    - Target space group and symmetry
    - Strategy chosen...
  - Experimental contextual Information coming from the BCM (ex: highest recordable resolution)
  - Main results from Data Procession by the scheduler (ex: estimation of the highest diffracted resolution by method X)
-

---

# Data Access Layer?

- Will provide a uniform information access
    - Wright now there are at least 4 source of detector information (DNA internal from the BCM, DiffractionImage, Mosfilm, Best). +XDS has its own
    - The detector object in dna\_common.xsd is very limited
      - Only 2 attributes: “type” (“ADSC”, “MARCCD”...) and “suffix”
      - What about pixel size, number of pixels, gain, distance, orientation, binned mode, read-out time...
-

---

# XDSauto

- Pure python (uses only standard library module), less than 2500 lines
    - Automatic Laue group determination
    - Multithreaded integration possible on clusters
    - Automatic scaling and exports
  - Symmetry information stored in dictionaries
  - 4 main classes XDS, XParam, Lattice, DataCollectInfo
  - LGPL licence
-

---

# XDSauto IO

- INPUTS can come from 3 sources
    - From the image headers as read by the DiffractionImage module
    - From xdsSetupDB: a xds specific Goniostat/Detector DB module
    - From some defaults parameters and/or arguments coming at runtime
-

# XDSauto IO

- **OUTPUT:** some wrappers are interpreting the XDS log file (\*.LP) to produce
  - An xdsauto.log and xdsauto.html files
  - A limited implementation of the index\_response
- **EXPORTS:**
  - Reflections in various formats: MTZ, CNS, SHELX, SOLVE, EPMR, AMoRe, FALL
  - Options for merged/unmerged, anomal/normal
  - Standard input files for some programs are written
  - Can inherit from a free reflection set

---

# XDSauto IO

- EXPORTS (needs Numerical Python module):
    - Orientation matrices, (miss)setting angles can be imported, exported to Mosflm, Denzo conventions
    - xds2mos, xds2dnz, mos2dnz, mos2xds, dnz2mos
  - SETUP: Only 2 optional shell variables.
    - PYTHONPATH to the xupy python modules.
    - XDSHOME, points to the XDS execs (xds, xscale, xdsconv). If XDSHOME is not set, the default PATH variable is used.
-

---

# Summary

- What design goal for inclusion of XDS in DNA?
  - I don't want to work on a temporary solution
  - Scheduler needs major improvement to have a “clean” inclusion of XDS
  - We need a more abstracted **data model** and **scheduler framework** (some thing like XIA)
  - (Include Numerical python as dependency for numerical calculations)
-